

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
JOHN M. GRENFELL (CA Bar No. 88500)  
john.grenfell@pillsburylaw.com  
JACOB R. SORENSEN (CA Bar No. 209134)  
jake.sorensen@pillsburylaw.com  
FUSAE NARA (*pro hac vice*)  
fusae.nara@pillsburylaw.com  
ANDREW D. LANPHERE (CA Bar No. 191479)  
andrew.lanphere@pillsburylaw.com  
50 Fremont Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
Telephone: (415) 983-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 983-1200

Attorneys for Defendants  
SHARP CORPORATION and  
SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL)  
ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Master File No. 3:07-md-1827 SI  
MDL No. 1827

This Document Relates To:

Case No. 2010-CV-3517 SI

STATE OF FLORIDA, OFFICE OF THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL, DEPARTMENT  
OF LEGAL AFFAIRS,

Plaintiff,

v.

AU Optronics Corporation, *et al.*,

Defendants.

**STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~  
ORDER EXTENDING SHARP'S TIME  
TO ANSWER AMENDED  
COMPLAINT**

1 WHEREAS plaintiff State of Florida filed an Amended Complaint in the above-  
2 captioned case against Defendants AU Optronics Corporation, AU Optronics Corporation  
3 America, Chi Mei Innolux Corp., CMO Japan Co., Ltd., Chi Mei Optoelectronics USA,  
4 Inc., Hannstar Display Corporation, Hitachi Ltd., Hitachi Displays, Ltd., Hitachi Electronic  
5 Devices (USA), Inc., LG Display Co., Ltd., LG Display America Inc., Samsung Electronics  
6 Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., Sharp  
7 Corporation, Sharp Electronics Corporation, Toshiba Corporation, Toshiba Mobile Display  
8 Technology Co., Ltd., Toshiba America Information Systems, Inc., and Toshiba America  
9 Electronic Components, Inc. on April 13, 2011, Dkt. no. 2652;

10 WHEREAS Sharp Corporation and Sharp Electronics Corporation (together,  
11 “Sharp”), jointly with other defendants in this action, filed a motion to dismiss the  
12 Amended Complaint on May 20, 2011;

13 WHEREAS the Court denied defendants’ joint motion to dismiss the Amended  
14 Complaint on September 15, 2011;

15 WHEREAS Sharp desires a reasonable amount of time to answer the Amended  
16 Complaint;

17 WHEREAS the Court previously entered an Order extending the time to answer the  
18 Amended Complaint on October 7, 2011, as stipulated by Plaintiff and Sharp; and

19 WHEREAS the requested time modification will not affect any other deadline in  
20 this case.

21 THEREFORE, the State of Florida and Sharp hereby agree that Sharp’s deadline to  
22 answer to the Amended Complaint shall be November 28, 2011.

23 Dated: November 7, 2011

24  
25  
26  
27  
28

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF  
THE STATE OF FLORIDA

By: /s/ Nicholas J. Weilhammer  
R. Scott Palmer  
Lizabeth A. Brady  
Nicholas J. Weilhammer (*pro hac vice*)  
Office of the Attorney General  
State of Florida  
PL-01, The Capitol  
Tallahassee, FL 32399-1050  
(850) 414-3300 (Phone)  
(850) 488-9134 (Facsimile)  
Nicholas.weilhammer@myfloridalegal.com

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP  
JOHN M. GRENFELL  
JACOB R. SORENSEN  
FUSAE NARA  
ANDREW D. LANPHERE  
50 Fremont Street  
San Francisco, CA 94105

By: /s/ John M. Grenfell  
John M. Grenfell

Attorneys for Defendants SHARP CORPORATION  
and SHARP ELECTRONICS CORPORATION

**ATTESTATION:** Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that the  
concurrence of the other signatory hereto has been obtained.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Susan Illston